

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

James Peterson, et al.,
for and on behalf of themselves
and other persons similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ORDER
Civil No. 07-2502

Seagate US LLC, Seagate Technology,
et al.,

Defendants.

Dan Kohrman, Laurie McCann and Thomas Osborne, AARP Foundation
Litigation, Beth E. Bertelson and Andrea R. Ostapowich, Bertelson Law Offices,
P.A., and Dorene R. Sarnoski, Dorene R. Sarnoski Law Office Law Office for and
on behalf of Plaintiffs.

Marko J. Mrkonich, Kathryn Mrkonich Wilson and Susan K. Fitzke, Littler
Mendelson for and on behalf of Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' Objections to Chief Magistrate
Judge Arthur J. Boylan's Order dated February 2, 2011 [Doc. No. 360] denying
their motion to compel discovery.

Plaintiffs object to that portion of the Order that denied their request for
the production of performance evaluation documents. The Court must modify or

set aside any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Order found to be clearly erroneous or contrary to law. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); Local Rule 72.2(a). Based on a review of the record and the submissions of the parties, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's Order is neither clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

Accordingly, the Order of Chief Magistrate Judge Arthur J. Boylan dated February 2, 2011 [Doc. No. 360] is AFFIRMED in all respects.

Date: March 30, 2011

s/ Michael J. Davis

Michael J. Davis

Chief Judge

United States District Court